RG104 E-1 Box 123 Jan-June 1881

Saratoga
13 June '81

Col. A. L. Snowden
U.S.

My dear Sir,

I regret that I had no opportunity of seeing you again on Thursday last – my wife hurried me on to New York the same night. I left to Mr. Clark the making the small corrections required to the N.O. weights, and to turn them over to you. I made the weightings for comparison with the Mint weights personally, and found the N.O. weights too heavy by a very small percentage, say on the average $\frac{1}{500}$ of 1 per cent – of no

RG104 E-1 Box 123 Jan-June 1881

practical importance, but worth correcting.

I would say, that I found the Beam in perfect order, also that the Mint Standards agree very well among themselves, and correspond to the standard pounds, from which I worked up the combinations, as I had done on the occasion of the assay.

Yours very truly

J. E. Hilgard

RG104 E-1 Box 123 Jan-June 1881

593½

J. E. Stilgard
(Saratoga N.Y.)
U.S. Inspector of Standards
June 13/81

Adjustment of
N.C. Std. Weights
& favorable con-
-dition of Philadelphia
standard weights

Rec'd June 14/81

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 123

[Abstract:] Adjustment of Nickel Std. Weights… 593 ½

Saratoga
June 13, 1881

Col. A.L. Snowden

My dear Sir,

I regret that I had no opportunity of seeing you again on Thursday last – my wife hurried me on to New York the same night. I left to Mr. Clark the making the small corrections required to the N.O. weights, and to turn them over to you. I made the weighings for comparison with the Phila. Mint weights personally, and found the N.O. weights too heavy by a very small percentage, say on the average 1/500 of 1 per cent, of no practical importance, but worth correcting.

I would say, that I found the Beam in perfect order. Also that the Mint standards agree very well among them – selves, and correspond to the standard pounds from which I worked up the combinations, as I had done on the occasion of the Assay.

Yours very truly,
J.E. Hilgard